Cañada College Academic Senate Governing Council

http://canadacollege.edu/academicsenate

Minutes 3/24/16

2:10pm – 4:10pm

Room 2-10

Meetings of the Governing Council are open to all members of the public. Materials are posted on the meeting page of the ASGC website.

No.	Item/Topic	Presenter	Time	Action
1	Call to Order	Hirzel	1	Procedure
	2:15pm			
2	Introductions		2	Information
	VPI			
3	Adoption of Agenda		1	Action
	M: Katie S			
	S: Dani			
4	Adoption of Consent Agenda		1	Action

Consent Agenda

All items on the consent agenda may, by unanimous vote of the Governing Council members present, be approved by one motion after allowing for Council member questions about a particular item. Prior to a motion for approval of the consent agenda, any Council member, interested student, citizen or member of the staff may request that an item be removed from Consent to be discussed in the order listed, after approval of remaining items on the consent agenda.

4.1 Approval of Minutes: 3/10/16
Removed from consent agenda—Prioritization of faculty position—no mention on the robust dialog in ranking the positions. To be edited and resubmitted for approval at next mtg.

	TRING the positions: To be culted and resubmitted for approv	ar at next mig.		
Public Com	nment			
	 VPI Anderson: Regrets not being able to come to our meetings more frequently; sits on the committee for the new HS in EPA/EMP, which meets at the same time. New HS discussion going well; there have been reports at PBC. Any time we have faculty at a HS teaching a Cañada course they must meet Minimum Qualifications to our standards—VPI came to reassure that this will continue to happen always, as the faculty teaching the college course at the high school are considered Cañada faculty. (All students at this new HS will have earned college credit via Cañada courses. Similar to Early College program.) Full report to ASGC forthcoming—SMCCCD representation in CSM faculty member, VPI, VC J. Moore. VC J. Moore working on a program to expand working in the jails locally. Very early in the process, and there will be forthcoming reports on this. 	Public	5	Information
	esident's report	Hirzel	10	Information
A.	Nominations for District Senate President for 2016-2018			

	a. 6 units of reassigned time; candidates need to			
	write a statement. If you wish to nominate			
	self/other, needs to have been a Senate			
	president.			
	B. District Academic Senate Retreat – April 8			
	a. Meeting at CSM. Open to public. Topics include:			
	coordination of curriculum, min			
	quals/equivalency, reformulating the Senate as a			
	Representative Senate, by-laws revisions.			
	C. Request for nominations for AS Treasurer and Secretary			
	a. Solicit nominationsneed interested parties to			
	volunteer			
	b. Katie and Sarah are coordinating this			
	D. Exemplary staff, FT faculty and PT faculty nominations			
	a. Dave M and Denise are coordinating this			
	b. Solicit nominations			
	E. End of year party			
	a. Having all-campus BBQ 20 May, lunchtime.			
	Would potentially get more participation.			
	 b. No alcohol—very little has been consumed in the past, plus problematic to use dues money 			
	for alcohol.			
	c. AA asks for a vote on this issue, especially with			
	respect to usage of dues			
	d. Cost of party is large, and Pres. Hughes has said			
	that the college will share in the cost.			
	e. Consensus: 5/20 noon is fine, but better at the			
	Vista, and provide alcohol (although modest)			
6.2	Treasury	Aguirre	5	Information
	\$9000 in the account			
	 Proposal coming at next meeting: Patty Hall to CTE 			
	Institute			
	 We did give \$ to Candice to go to her NASBITE 			
	conference.			
6.3	Academic Committee for Equity & Success (ACES)	Hoffman	5	Information
	No report			
6.4	Curriculum Committee	Behonick	5	Information
	28 courses still need to have modifications in order			
	to stay in catalog			
	Much activity, thanks to reps who have been			
	involved to motivate faculty			
	Last day to hit submit is 8 April Description of Development	Fui alsa a u	-	lu fa uua ati au
6.5	Professional Development	Erickson	5	Information
	 \$118,000 in the fund as of right now, due to a big one-time influx of \$ 			
	· ·			
	 CSM has now upped their travel allotment to \$2500; discussion now in ProfDevComt to do the same— 			
	whether to do it or not (yes) and to what limit (\$2k			
	vs \$2.5k). Feedback to Denise: what about meals			
	(many feel they should be covered, especially since			
	(many reer they should be covered, especially since		<u> </u>	1

	there's a per diem via Board Policy); go with \$2.5k for now			
Senate	Business		<u> </u>	
7.1	 Setting Class Maximums – next steps Got very little feedback from previous attempts At last meeting there was an example of a faculty member who had class max raised without knowledge or permission, so this needs to be worked on now. Hyla: BDW enthusiastically in favor of setting something Ana: same with ALL Suggestion: come up with a concrete example, and thereby illicit more response. Use a type of calculator to start the discussion, and allow for overrides when necessary (i.e. CTE courses/RADT, etc.) Use of calculator seemed to gain more support than rubric. If we tied it to Curricular (COR) Review, could phase it in; if early adopters wanted to jump on it, they could. Faculty and Admin must work together to come to the #; State Senate states as such Physical max cap is not at issue—that's based on equipment, room size, # seats, and fire codes. This is about pedagogical max cap, which is based on course format, assessment, and safety. Admin will also discuss the financial issue—where is the breakeven How can we make a balance point, to create larger survey courses that can handle large class # so that certain classes can remain smaller (I.e. more 'history of' classes that are tied to degrees/certificates, could be done online or in person—if we create more of these classes, it could offset the load of the smaller classes) Next step: Division reps will take sample classes and run them through the calculator and show the results, and bring results to the next meeting for 	Behonick Schertle	15	Discussion
7.2	evaluation. Proposed new Board Policy: 6.36 – Faculty Textbook Selection Overall consensus: policy as written is fine. If there is a huge conflict of interest, then it should be	Hirzel	10	Discussion
7.3	addressed. Perhaps involving district peers in the decision is possible. Institutional goals and ACCJC mid-term report • Last year was setting goals on course completion rate (70%). This year, we are looking at the remedial	Dean Hsieh	15	Action

	T	1		1
	rate for cohort who started 08-09 below college level courses over 6 years to 13-14 completed ENGL/MATH transfer level. Also looking at transfer rates/degree-cert in that same time period. • Data Scorecard on PRIE site: Transfer rate has been 44.3-53.8% for the cohorts starting in 2004-2005 to cohort 2008-2009. The goal that we set for the 2009-2010 cohort is: 54% (the highest point on the			
	graph).			
	Remedial score: Math: 34%, ESL: 14.3% (much)			
	discussion on this); English: 48%			
7.4	Use of Senate funds for college-wide professional	Aguirre	20	Discussion
	development			
	Deferred to future meeting			
7.5	Faculty position vacancies and replacement procedures	Hirzel	15	Discussion
	Deferred to future meeting			
Other	Reports			
8.1	Upcoming Governance Meetings:			
	District Participatory Governance Committee – April 4			
	Planning & Budgeting Council – April 6			
	District Academic Senate Retreat – April 8			
	District Academic Senate – April 12			
8.2	Upcoming Faculty Conferences			
	ASCCC Spring Plenary – Apr. 21-23			
8.3	Division reports and concerns	Division Reps	10	Discussion
9	Adjourn	Hirzel	1	Action
	4:11pm			
	Next Meeting			Apr. 14, 2016

In accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and SB 751, minutes of the Cañada College Academic Senate Governing Council will record the votes of all members as follows: (1) Members recorded as absent are presumed not to have voted; (2) the names of members voting in the minority or abstaining are recorded; (3) all other members are presumed to have voted in the majority.

Academic Senate Governing Council for the 2015 – 2016 academic year:

President: Doug Hirzel; Vice President: Sarah Harmon; Secretary: David Meckler; Treasurer: Alicia Aguirre; Curriculum Committee: Danielle Behonick; Professional Development: Denise Erickson; Humanities and Social Sciences Division: Katie Schertle; Science and Technology Division: Michael Hoffman; Business, Design & Workforce Division: Hyla Lacefield; Athletics, Library and Learning Resources: Ana Miladinova & Diana Tedone; Student Services: Sandra Mendez